	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/940,081	IANNIZZOTTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew O Savage	1723
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) Matthew O Savage.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Paul lannizzotto.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 May 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Van Dieren, of record.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	17.0	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the substitute specification could not be entered since it included new matter because a marked-up copy had not been provided. Mr. Iannizzotto accepted the proposed amended specification and new claims 4 and 5 drafted by the examiner to patently distinguish over the art of record, all having basis in the original drawing Figures filed on 8-28-01 and the specification, claim and abstract filed on 7-31-02. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details..